## Note

Determination of Large-Order Spherical Coulomb Functions with an Argument Lying between the Origin and the Common Point of Inflection

## 1. Fundamental Equations

The spherical Coulomb functions satisfy the radial equation (see Ref. [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d^{2}}{d \rho^{2}} \div\left(1-\frac{2 \gamma}{\rho}-\frac{L(L+1)}{\rho^{2}}\right)\right] u_{L}(\gamma, \rho)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are defined in the domain $0<\rho<-\infty,-\infty<\gamma<+\infty$ for any nonnegative integer order: $L:-0,1, \ldots$.

Write, in the neighbourhood of the origin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{J}=c_{\sigma} \rho^{\sigma} \exp \left(\alpha_{\sigma}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\epsilon_{\sigma}$ being independent of $\rho$, and introduce (1.2) into Eq. (1.1). One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d \alpha_{o}}{d \rho}\right)^{2} \div \frac{d^{2} \alpha_{o}}{d \rho^{2}} \div \frac{2 \sigma}{\rho} \frac{d \alpha_{o}}{d \rho} \div 1-\frac{2 \gamma}{\rho}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\sigma$ is one of the roots of the indicial equation for (1.1),

$$
\sigma^{2}-\sigma-L(L+1)=0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}=-L+1, \quad \sigma_{2}=-=-l . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)-c_{\sigma_{1}} \rho^{\sigma_{1}} \exp \left(x_{c_{1}}\right), \quad G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)=c_{\sigma_{2}} \rho^{\sigma_{2}} \exp \left(x_{\sigma_{2}}\right), \tag{1.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, according to the usual notation, $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ and $G_{J}(\gamma, \rho)$ are respectively the reguiar and the irregular spherical Coulomb functions of order $L$.

When $\rho \rightarrow 0$, or $L \rightarrow \infty$, i.c., when $\dot{i}(2 \sigma) / \rho!\geqslant 1$, we can neglect $\left(d \alpha_{\sigma} / d \rho\right)^{2}$ in (1.3) and obtain the approximate solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \alpha_{\sigma}}{d \rho} \simeq \frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-\frac{\rho}{2 \sigma+1} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, considering (1.4), (1.5a) and (1.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{X}(\gamma, \rho) \xrightarrow[\rho \rightarrow 0]{ } c_{\sigma_{1}} \rho^{L+1}\left[1+\frac{\gamma}{L+1} \rho+\cdots\right],  \tag{1.7a}\\
& G_{L}(\gamma, \rho) \xrightarrow[\rho \rightarrow 0]{ } c_{\sigma_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{L} \times \begin{cases}{[1+2 \gamma \rho \log \rho+\cdots],} & L=0 \\
{\left[1-\frac{\gamma}{L} \rho+\cdots\right],} & L \neq 0\end{cases} \tag{1.7~b}
\end{align*}
$$

The limit for $G_{0}(\gamma, \rho)$ is obtained directly from (1.3) by putting $\sigma=\sigma_{2}=0$.
The limits (1.7) show (see Refs. [1], [2]) that the cocfficients $c_{\sigma_{2}}$ and $c_{\sigma_{2}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{L}\left(1+\gamma^{2} / s^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{(2 L+1)!!} \times\left(\frac{2 \pi \gamma}{\rho^{2} \pi \gamma-1}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad c_{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{1}{(2 L+1) c_{\sigma_{1}}} \tag{1.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The finite product in $c_{\sigma_{1}}$ is taken equal to 1 for $L=0$.
A better approximation $d \alpha_{\sigma} 0 / d \rho$ to $d \alpha_{\sigma} / d \rho$ can now be found. By differentiation of (1.6) with respect to $\rho$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \alpha_{\sigma}}{d \rho^{2}} \simeq\left(\frac{d \alpha_{\sigma}}{d \rho}-\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right) / \rho \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, eliminating $d^{2} \alpha_{\sigma} / d \rho^{2}$ between (1.3) and (1.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d \alpha_{\sigma}{ }^{0}}{d \rho}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \sigma+1}{\rho} \frac{d \alpha_{\sigma}{ }^{0}}{d \rho}+1-\frac{\gamma}{\rho} \frac{2 \sigma+1}{\sigma}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Eq. (1.9) we are interested in, is, evidently, the one which goes into (1.6) when $L \rightarrow \infty$ (or $\rho \rightarrow 0$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \alpha_{\sigma}^{0}}{d \rho}=-\frac{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}{\rho} \times\left\{1-\left[1+\frac{2 \gamma}{\sigma} \frac{\rho}{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{12}\right\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The forms (1.5) for $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ and $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ are valid in an interval to the right of the origin where these functions are both positive or, what is the same thing, where $\alpha_{\sigma}$, , $i=1,2$ and their derivatives are real functions of $\rho$. We find from (1.10) that such an interval is given for $\sigma_{i}, i=1,2$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho<\rho_{i}, \quad \rho_{i}=\left(\sigma_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \times\left\{\gamma / \sigma_{i}+(-1)^{i+1}\left[\left(\gamma / \sigma_{i}\right)^{2}+1\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\rho_{i}, i=1,2$ are close to the common point of inflection of $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ and $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ (see Eq. (1.1)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=\gamma+\left[\gamma^{2}+L(L+1)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(all the other inflection points of these functions coincide with the zeros of $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ and $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$, which interlace according to a well-known theorem).

Eqs. (1.11) and (1.12) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}<\rho_{0}<\rho_{1} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, when $L \rightarrow \infty, \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{0} \rightarrow \infty$.
Obviously, the approximation (1.10) to $d \alpha_{\sigma} / d \rho$ is good only for values of $\rho$ away from $\rho_{0}$. This does not matter in the calculations that will follow, since they are performed for values of $\rho$ smaller than $\rho_{0}$.

Now we shall obtain solutions in series for $\alpha_{\sigma}$ and $d \alpha_{\sigma} / d \rho$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \alpha_{\sigma}}{d \rho}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \rho^{\prime n} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (1.14) into Eq. (1.3) and equate to zero the algebric sums of the $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ belonging to the same $\rho^{n}$. One has

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0}=\gamma / \sigma, \quad a_{1}=-\left(1+a_{0}^{2}\right) /(2 \sigma+1),  \tag{1.15a}\\
a_{n}(2 \sigma+n)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} a_{n-k-1}=0, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{1.15b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n+1} \rho^{n+1} . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration constant is zero in accordance with Eqs. (1.7).
The developments (1.14) and (1.16) can only be used for $\sigma=\sigma_{1}=L+1$. In the case of $\sigma=\sigma_{2}=-L$, the coefficient of $a_{(2 L)}$ in (1.15b) vanishes and the recurrence formula breaks down (for $\gamma=0$, however, $a_{(2 k)}=0, k=0,1, \ldots$ and Eqs, (1.15) are still valid for $\sigma=\sigma_{2}$ (see Ref. [3])).
$d \alpha_{v 2} / d \rho$ is determined in Section 3 by iteration.

## 2. Convergence of the Series for $\alpha_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho$

Expand, by means of the binomial series, $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}}^{0} / d \rho$ defined in (1.10). We find $d \alpha_{c_{1}}^{0} / d \rho=\sum_{n-0} a_{n}{ }^{0} \rho^{n}$, where the $\left\{a_{n}{ }^{0}\right\}$ can be obtained directly from Eq. (1.9) in the same way as the $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ were derived from Eq. (1.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{0}=a_{n}, \quad n=1,2 ; \quad a_{n}^{0}\left(2 \sigma_{1}+1\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}^{0} a_{n-k-1}^{0}=0, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, as we shall prove below,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \leqslant\left|a_{k}{ }^{0}\right|, \quad k=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| \rho^{k} \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}^{0}\right| \rho^{k} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the expansion for $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}}^{0} / d \rho$ (as the binomial series itself) converges absolutely and uniformly in any interval of the variable $\rho$ where the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<\frac{2 \gamma}{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \frac{\rho}{\sigma_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}<+1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are both satisfied. Conditions (2.4) are fulfilled for any $\rho$ belonging to the interval $0<\rho<\rho_{1}$ (see (1.11)) if $\sigma_{1}>\gamma$.

Thus (see Ref. [4; p. 399]), by (2.3), the series (1.14) and (1.16) for $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho$ and for $\alpha_{\sigma}$. also converge uniformly and absolutely in $0<\rho<\rho_{1}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}>\gamma . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the proof of relations (2.2). From Eqs. (1.15) for $\sigma=\sigma_{1}$ and (2.1) it can be shown by induction that
$a_{k}(\gamma)=-\left(-\frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|}\right)^{k+1}\left|a_{k}(\gamma)\right|, \quad a_{k}{ }^{0}(\gamma)=-\left(-\frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|}\right)^{k+1}\left|a_{k}{ }^{0}(\gamma)\right|, \quad k=0,1, \ldots$.
Suppose now that (2.2) are true for $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ with $n>1$ and introduce (2.6) respectively into (1.15b) and (2.1). One has, since $2 \sigma_{1}+1<2 \sigma_{1}+n$ for $n>1$,

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{1}+n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|\left|a_{n-k-1}\right| \leqslant\left|a_{n}{ }^{0}\right| .
$$

Relations (2.2), true for $k=0,1$ (see (2.1)), can now be established by mathematical induction.

TABLE I

| $L$ | $\gamma$ | $\rho$ | $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho$ | $n$ | $\alpha_{\sigma_{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.5 | 1 | $0.19755301 \times 10^{-2}$ | 7 | $0.23706501 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 10 | 5 | 1 | 0.40390207 | 9 | 0.42893424 |
| 30 | 5 | 10 | $0.25552066 \times 10^{-2}$ | 15 | 0.81562269 |

Note. The truncated series $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho=(1 / \rho) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{k}$ and $\alpha_{\sigma_{1}}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{k} /(k+1)$ are used in the determination of $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho$ and $\alpha_{\sigma_{1}}$. The $A_{k}=a_{k} \rho^{k+1}, k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ are obtained from $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ by recurrence (see (1.15b)) with $\sigma=L+1: A_{k}[2(L+1)+k]+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_{i} A_{k-1-i}=0$. The column headed by $n$ gives the number of terms kept in the series for $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho$ which satisfy the condition $\operatorname{Max}\left(\left|A_{k-1}\right|,\left|A_{k}\right|\right)>\rho \times 10^{-8}$, so that $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ can be calculated ${ }^{1}$ with 8 exact significant figures.

Table I shows $d \alpha_{\sigma_{1}} / d \rho$ and $\alpha_{\sigma_{1}}$ for different $L, \gamma$ and $\rho$. In the examples given, $L \gg \rho, L \gg \mid \gamma ;$ so that the conditions $0<\rho<\rho_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}>\gamma$ are always satisfied.

The familiar, stable three-term recurrence formula (see Refs. [1], [2]) is used in the determination of $F_{0}(\gamma, \rho)$ from $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ in Table II.

TABLE II

| $L$ | $\gamma$ | $\rho$ | $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ | $F_{0}(\gamma, \rho)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 0.5 | 1 | $0.33554924 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.51660150 |
| 10 | 5 | 1 | $0.13750509 \times 10^{-1.3}$ | $0.20413012 \times 10^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 30 | 5 | 10 | $0.32745345 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.91794492 |

Note. $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ is obtained from (1.5). $F_{L-1}(\gamma, \rho)$ (necessary to the calculation of $F_{0}(\gamma, \rho)$ by recurrence) is obtained from $\left[1+(\gamma / L)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} F_{L-1}=\left[(2 L+1) / \rho+\gamma / L+d x_{a_{1}}{ }^{\prime} d \rho\right] F_{L}$, derived from (1.5) and $\left[1+(\gamma / L)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} F_{L-1}=\left(L_{/} \rho+\gamma / L+d_{i}^{\prime} d \rho\right) F_{L}$ (see Ref. [1]).

## 3. Determination of $d x_{\sigma} / d \rho$ by an Iterative Method

To simplify the notation, represent by $f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, f^{(n)}$ the first $n$ derivatives of any function $f$ of $\rho$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{d \alpha_{r}}{d \rho} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In accordance with these definitions, Eq. (1.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)=b^{2}+b^{\prime}+\frac{2 \sigma}{\rho} b+1-\frac{2 \gamma}{\rho}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first approximation $b_{0}$ to $b$ is taken equal to the function (1.10), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}=\frac{d \alpha_{o}{ }^{0}}{d \rho} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $b_{n}$ is a better approximation to $b$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=b-b_{n} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.8), $h^{\prime} \simeq h / \rho$, and $\psi\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \simeq \psi\left(b_{n}+h, b_{n}^{\prime}+h / \rho\right)$ or, expanding $\psi$ by its Taylor's series up to terms of first order in $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \simeq \psi\left(b_{n}, b_{n}^{\prime}\right)+-\left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial b}\right)_{(n)}+\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial b^{\prime}}\right)_{(n)}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript ( $n$ ) means that the partial derivatives are taken at point $\left(b_{n}, b_{n 2}^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $b$ is a solution of Eq. (3.2), $\psi\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)=0$ in (3.5). The right-hand side of (3.5), however, is not necessarily zero, though we can make it vanish by substituting for $b$ in (3.4) an appropriate new function $b_{n+1}$ of $\rho$. We have, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n+1}=b_{n}-\frac{\psi\left(b_{n}, b_{n}^{\prime}\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial b}\right)_{(n)}+\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{\hat{o} \psi}{\partial b^{\prime}}\right)_{(n)}} \tag{3.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, by (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n+1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{n}^{\prime}-b_{n}^{2}-b / \rho+1-2 \gamma / \rho\right) /\left[b_{n}+\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right) / \rho\right], \quad n=0,1, \ldots . \tag{3.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3.3) with Eqs. (3.6b) establish an iterative process for the determination of $\boldsymbol{b}\left(=d \alpha_{\sigma} / d \rho\right)$.

Note that the calculation of $b_{n}$ requires the first $n$ derivatives of $b_{0}$, the first $n-1$ derivatives of $b_{1}, \ldots$, the first derivative of $b_{n-1}$. These functions are relatively simple to derive from Eqs. (3.3) and (3.6b) for $n$ small. But it is better to find $b_{0}^{\prime}$ directly from Eq. (1.9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}^{\prime}=\left(b_{0}-\gamma / \sigma\right) /\left[\rho+\left(\rho^{2} b_{0}\right) /\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $b_{0}^{(m)}, m=2,3, \ldots$ are obtained successively from (3.7).
Consider, now, the convergence of the iterative process. Subtract $\psi\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)=0$ from $\psi\left(b_{n}, b_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ in the numerator of (3.6a) and develop $\psi\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(b_{n}+h, b_{n}^{\prime}+h^{\prime}\right)$ (see (3.4)) by its Taylor's series. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
b-b_{n+1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(b-b_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(b^{\prime}-b_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\left(b-b_{n}\right) / \rho\right] /\left[b_{n}+\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right) / \rho\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3.8) shows that the iterative process described above is a first order one. Thus, if $b_{a}$ is an approximation to $b$, we have $b_{a}-b_{n}=M\left(b_{n}-b_{n-1}\right), b_{a}-b_{n-1}=$ $M\left(b_{n-1}-b_{n-2}\right)$ or, eliminating $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{a}=\frac{b_{n} b_{n-2}-b_{n-1}^{2}}{b_{n}-2 b_{n-1}+b_{n-2}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3.9) represents Aitken's $\delta^{2}$-process and can be used to accelerate the convergence of the $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ (see Ref. [2; p. 18]).

Table III illustrates the iterative process for $\sigma=\sigma_{2}=-L$. The function $b_{a}$ is obtained from (3.9) with $n=3$.

TABLE III

| $L$ | $\gamma$ | $\rho$ | $b_{0}$ | $b_{3}$ | $b_{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.5 | 1 | $0.26319435 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.26336925 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.26337165 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 10 | 5 | 1 | -0.43730346 | -0.43744757 | -0.43744757 |
| 30 | 5 | 10 | $0.28262126 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.28319918 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.28320015 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Finally, we obtain $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ from the Wronskian for this function and for $F_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ (see Refs. [1, 2]) and from Eqs. (1.5). We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{L} G_{L}\left(\frac{2 L+1}{\rho}+\frac{d x_{G_{1}}}{d \rho}-\frac{d \alpha_{\sigma_{2}}}{d \rho}\right)=1 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The examples shown in Table IV fulfill the conditions $0<\rho<\rho_{2}$ (see (1.11) and $L+1>\gamma$ (see (2.5)). No attempt is made to obtain $G_{0}(\gamma, \rho)$ from $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ by recurrence because such a "backward" process is numerically unstable.

TABLE IV

| $\gamma$ | $\rho$ | $L$ | $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ | $L$ | $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 1 | 10 | $0.14191819 \times 10^{10}$ | 4 | $0.22443 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 5 | 1 | 10 | $0.33296743 \times 10^{13}$ | 8 | $0.11777 \times 10^{12}$ |
| 5 | 10 | 30 | $0.50065701 \times 10^{14}$ | 13 | $0.82766 \times 10^{3}$ |

Note. Both columns headed by $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ are obtained from Eq. (3.10) taking $d \alpha_{G_{2}} / d \rho \simeq b_{a}$, givern by (3.9) with $n=3$. The convergence of the iteration is not so good when $L$ becomes closer to $\rho$ and $\mid \gamma$ '. That is why the 2 nd column for $G_{L}(\gamma, \rho)$ shows only 5 exact significant figures.

All the calculations were performed in the Coimbra University Sigma 5 Xerox computer using a double-precision FORTRAN-IV programme.
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